arXiv:math/0406499v3 [math.QA] 1 Sep 2004

CHEREDNIK AND HECKE ALGEBRAS OF VARIETIES WITH A FINITE GROUP ACTION

PAVEL ETINGOF

1. Introduction

Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a finite dimensional complex vector space, and G be a finite subgroup of $GL(\mathfrak{h})$. To this data one can attach a family of algebras $H_{t,c}(\mathfrak{h}, G)$, called the rational Cherednik algebras (see [EG]); for $t = 1$ it provides the universal deformation of $G \ltimes D(\mathfrak{h})$ (where $D(\mathfrak{h})$ is the algebra of differential operators on $\mathfrak{h}$). These algebras are generated by $G, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}^*$ with certain commutation relations, and are parametrized by pairs (t, c), where t is a complex number, and c is a conjugation invariant function on the set of complex reflections in G. They have a rich representation theory and deep connections with combinatorics (Macdonald theory, n! conjecture) and algebraic geometry (Hilbert schemes, resolutions of symplectic quotient singularities).

The purpose of this paper is to introduce "global" analogues of rational Cherednik algebras, attached to any smooth complex algebraic variety X with an action of a finite group G; the usual rational Cherednik algebras are recovered in the case when X is a vector space and G acts linearly.

More specifically, let G be a finite group of automorphisms of X, and let S be the set of pairs (Y, g), where $g \in G$, and Y is a connected component of the set X^g of g-fixed points in X which has codimension 1 in X. Suppose that X is affine. Then we define (in Section 1 of the paper) a family of algebras $H_{t,c,\omega}(X, G)$, where $t \in \mathbb{C}$, c is a G-invariant function on S, and ω is a G-invariant closed 2-form on X. This family for $t = 1$ provides a universal deformation of the algebra $H_{1,0,0}(X, G) = G \ltimes D(X)$, where $D(X)$ is the algebra of differential operators on X (assuming that ω runs through a space of forms bijectively representing $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})^G$).

If X is not affine, then we define a sheaf of algebras $H_{t,c,\omega,X,G}$ rather than a single algebra. In this case the parameters are the same, except that ω runs over a space representing classes of G-equivariant twisted differential operator (tdo) algebras on X (see [BB], Section 2).

We find that much of the theory of rational Cherednik algebras survives in the global case. In particular, one can define the spherical subalgebra, which is both commutative and isomorphic to the center of the Cherednik algebra in the case $t = 0$. The spectrum of this algebra is "the Calogero-Moser space" of X, which is a global version of the similar space defined in [EG]. This includes, in particular, Calogero-Moser spaces attached to symmetric powers of algebraic curves. One can also define the global analog of the theory of quasiinvariants which was worked out in [FV, EG, BEG] and references therein. These results can be generalized to the case when X is a complex analytic variety.

It thus appears that the global Cherednik algebras introduced in this paper deserve further study. One interesting problem is to develop the theory of modules over these algebras (for $t = 1$). Another problem, which will be dealt with in another paper, is obtaining quantum integrable systems with elliptic coefficients by taking global sections of $H_{t,c,\omega,X,G}$ for X being a power of an elliptic curve.

In Section 2, we discuss an application of the theory of global Cherednik algebras for complex analytic varieties. Namely, for an analytic G-variety X we define its Hecke algebra, which is a certain explicitly defined formal deformation of the group algebra of the orbifold funcdamental group of X/G. We show that if $\pi_2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ (a condition that cannot be removed), then the Hecke algebra is a flat deformation. This includes usual, affine, and double affine Hecke algebras for Weyl groups, as well as Hecke algebras for complex reflection groups. The proof is based on showing that the regular representation of the orbifold fundamental group can be deformed to a representation of the Hecke algebra. The required deformation is constructed by applying the KZ functor to a module over the global Cherednik algebra.

Acknowledgements. The author is very grateful to A. Braverman for many explanations about D-modules, in particular for proofs of Propositions 2.1 and 2.2. The author thanks V. Ginzburg and A. Okounkov for useful discussions. His work was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-9988796 and the CRDF grant RM1-2545-MO-03.

2. The Cherednik algebra of a G-variety

2.1. **Basic results about D-modules.** Let X be a smooth algebraic variety. Let D_X be the sheaf of differential operators on X, and $D_X - mod$ be the category of D-modules on X. We will need the following well known result.

Proposition 2.1. *One has* $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{D_X-mod}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^i(X, \mathbb{C})$.

Proof. Let $D^\bullet_X$ be the dual D-module De Rham complex of X:

$$D_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega^{-d}(X) \to ... \to D_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega^{-1}(X) \to ... \to D_X,$$

where $\Omega^{-i}(X)$ is the sheaf of polyvector fields of rank i on X. Then $D^\bullet_X$ is a locally projective resolution of the D-module $\mathcal{O}_X$. Thus, the required Ext groups are equal to the hypercohomology groups of the complex of sheaves $\mathrm{Hom}_{D-\mathrm{modules}}(D^\bullet_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. This complex is just the algebraic De Rham complex of X. But by Grothendieck's theorem, the hypercohomology of the De Rham complex is equal to the cohomology of X. This proves the proposition. □

Note that Proposition 2.1 holds for analytic varieties, with the same proof.

Now let X be a smooth algebraic variety over $\mathbb{C}$, and g be an automorphism of X of finite order. In this case the set of fixed points X^g is a smooth algebraic variety, consisting of finitely many (say, N_g) connected components X^g_j (possibly of different dimensions).

Assume that X is affine, and let $D(X)$ be the algebra of differential operators on X. Then g acts naturally as an automorphism of $D(X)$. Thus we can define the bimodule $D(X)g$ over $D(X)$ (in an obvious way).

The following proposition computes the Hochschild cohomology of $D(X)$ with coefficients in $D(X)g$.

Proposition 2.2. *We have an isomorphism*

$$HH^m(D(X), D(X)g) = \oplus_{j=1}^{N_g} H^{m-2\mathrm{codim} X^g_j}(X^g_j, \mathbb{C}).$$

This isomorphism is natural in the sense that it is invariant under any automorphism of X that commutes with g.

Proof. Let us regard $D(X)$ and $D(X)g$ as left D-modules on $X \times X$ (using the standard equivalence between left and right D-modules on the second factor X, see e.g. [Bo]). Let $\Delta : X \to X \times X$ be the diagonal map, and $i : X \to X \times X$ be given by the formula $x \to (x, gx)$. Let $\eta_j : X_j^g \to X$ be the tautological embedding. We have

$$HH^m(D(X), D(X)g) = \mathrm{Ext}^m(D(X), D(X)g) = \mathrm{Ext}^m_{D(X\times X)}(\Delta_*(\mathcal{O}_X), i_*(\mathcal{O}_X)) =$$

$$\mathrm{Ext}^m_{D(X)}(\mathcal{O}_X, \Delta^! i_*(\mathcal{O}_X)) = \mathrm{Ext}^m_{D(X)}(\mathcal{O}_X, \oplus_{j=1}^{N_g} \eta_{j*}(\mathcal{O}_{X_j^g})[-\mathrm{codim} X_j^g]) =$$

$$= \oplus_{j=1}^{N_g} \mathrm{Ext}^m_{D(X_j^g)}(\eta_j^* \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_{X_j^g}[-\mathrm{codim} X_j^g]) =$$

$$= \oplus_{j=1}^{N_g} \mathrm{Ext}^m_{D(X_j^g)}(\mathcal{O}_{X_j^g}[+\mathrm{codim} X_j^g], \mathcal{O}_{X_j^g}[-\mathrm{codim} X_j^g]) =$$

$$= \oplus_{j=1}^{N_g} \mathrm{Ext}^{m-2\mathrm{codim} X_j^g}_{D(X_j^g)}(\mathcal{O}_{X_j^g}, \mathcal{O}_{X_j^g}) =$$

$$\oplus_{j=1}^{N_g} H^{m-2\mathrm{codim} X_j^g}(X_j^g, \mathbb{C}),$$

as desired. The last equality follows from Proposition 2.1. $\square$

We note that Proposition 2.2 for $g = 1$ and smooth real manifolds is due to Kassel and Mitschi (see e.g. [BG]).

Corollary 2.3. *One has*

$$HH^m(G \ltimes D(X), G \ltimes D(X)) = (\oplus_{g\in G} \oplus_{j=1}^{N_g} H^{m-2\mathrm{codim} X_j^g}(X_j^g, \mathbb{C}))^G,$$

Proof. It is well known (see e.g. [AFLS]) that for any algebra A over a field of characteristic zero with an action of a finite group G, one has

$$HH^m(G \ltimes A, G \ltimes A) = (\oplus_{g\in G} HH^m(A, Ag))^G.$$

Indeed,

$$HH^m(G \ltimes A, G \ltimes A) = \mathrm{Ext}^m_{(G\times G)\ltimes A\otimes A^{op}}(G \ltimes A, G \ltimes A)$$

By Shapiro's lemma, this equals

$$\mathrm{Ext}^m_{G_{\mathrm{diagonal}}\ltimes A\otimes A^{op}}(A, G \ltimes A),$$

which (by Maschke's theorem for G) is equal to

$$\mathrm{Ext}^m_{A\otimes A^{op}}(A, G \ltimes A)^G = HH^m(A, G \ltimes A)^G,$$

as desired.

So for $A = D(X)$, we find

$$HH^m(G \ltimes D(X), G \ltimes D(X)) = (\oplus_{g\in G} HH^m(D(X), D(X)g))^G.$$

The rest follows from Proposition 2.2. $\square$

2.2. **Twisted differential operators.** Let us recall the theory of twisted differential operators (see [BB], section 2).

Let X be a smooth affine algebraic variety over $\mathbb{C}$. In this paper we will always assume that X is connected. Let $D(X)$ be the algebra of algebraic differential operators on X. Given a closed 2-form ω on X, we can define a two-cocycle on the Lie algebra $Vect(X)$ with coefficients in the module of regular functions $\mathcal{O}_X$, given by $v, w \to \omega(v,w)$. This cocycle defines an abelian extension of $Vect(X)$ by $\mathcal{O}_X$, which has an obvious structure of a Lie algebroid $\mathbb{L}_\omega$ over X. It is clear that this Lie algebroid depends only on the cohomology class of ω.

Let $U = U(\mathbb{L}_\omega)$ be the universal enveloping algebra of this Lie algebroid. For any $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$, let $\widehat{f}$ be the corresponding section of $\mathbb{L}_\omega$. Let I be the ideal in U generated by the elements $\widehat{f} - f$. The quotient U/I is the algebra of twisted differential operators $D_\omega(X)$.

More explicitly, $D_\omega(X)$ can be defined as the algebra generated by $\mathcal{O}_X$ and "Lie derivatives" $\mathbf{L}_v$, $v \in Vect(X)$, with defining relations

$$f\mathbf{L}_v = \mathbf{L}_{fv},\ [\mathbf{L}_v, f] = L_v f,\ [\mathbf{L}_v, \mathbf{L}_w] = \mathbf{L}_{[v,w]} + \omega(v,w).$$

This algebra depends only on the cohomology class $[\omega]$ of ω, and equals $D(X)$ if $[\omega] = 0$.

An important special case of twisted differential operators is the algebra of differential operators on a line bundle. Namely, let L be a line bundle on X. Since X is affine, L admits an algebraic connection ∇ with curvature ω, which is a closed 2-form on X. Then it is easy to show that the algebra $D(X,L)$ of differential operators on L is isomorphic to $D_\omega(X)$.

The classical analog of twisted differential operator algebras are twisted cotangent bundles. In the case of an affine variety X, a twisted cotangent bundle is the usual cotangent bundle variety T^*X equipped with the new symplectic structure $\Omega' = \Omega + \pi^*\omega$, where Ω is the usual symplectic structure on T^*X and $\pi : T^*X \to X$ is the projection. Here ω is a closed 2-form on X. We will denote the twisted cotangent bundle by $T^*_\omega(X)$.

If the variety X is smooth but not necessarily affine, then (sheaves of) algebras of twisted differential operators and twisted cotangent bundles are classified by the space $H^2(X, \Omega_X^{\geq 1})$, where $\Omega_X^{\geq 1}$ is the two-step complex of sheaves $\Omega_X^1 \to \Omega_X^{2,cl}$, given by the De Rham differential acting from 1-forms to closed 2-forms (sitting in degrees 1 and 2, respectively). If X is projective then this space is isomorphic to $H^{2,0}(X,\mathbb{C}) \oplus H^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{C})$. We note that if X is not affine then the twisted cotangent bundle is in general not a vector bundle but an affine space bundle, which need not be isomorphic to T^*X. We refer the reader to [BB], Section 2, for details.

2.3. $D_\omega(X)$ **as a universal deformation.** Let E be a subspace of the space of closed 2-forms on X which projects isomorphically to $H^2(X)$. [1] Then $D_\omega(X)$, $\omega \in E$, is a family of algebras parametrized by $H^2(X)$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let* $\omega \in E$. *If the first order deformation* $D_{\hbar\omega}(X)$ *of* $D(X)$ *over* $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]/\hbar^2$ *is trivial, then* $\omega = 0$.

Proof. If the deformation is trivial then the module $\mathcal{O}_X$ over $D(X)$ can be lifted to this deformation. This lifting must be trivial as a deformation of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules, i.e. it is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_X[\hbar]/\hbar^2$ with the usual action of functions, and the action of vector fields by

---

[1] Unless otherwise specified, cohomology of varieties is with complex coefficients.

$$\mathbf{L}_v f = L_v f + \hbar A_v(f)$$

for some operator A_v, where $L_v f$ is the usual Lie derivative. Since $[\mathbf{L}_v, g] = L_v g$ in $D_{\hbar\omega}(X)$, we find that $A_v(f)$ commutes with operators of multiplication by functions, so using that $\mathbf{L}_{gv} = g\mathbf{L}_v$, we get that $A_v(f) = \eta(v)f$, where η is a 1-form on X. From this, using the commutation relation between $\mathbf{L}_v$ and $\mathbf{L}_w$, by a simple calculation we obtain $d\eta = \omega$. Since the map $E \to H^2(X)$ is an isomorphism, $\omega = 0$. The lemma is proved. □

By Proposition 2.2, $HH^2(D(X), D(X)) = H^2(X)$. Thus using lemma 2.4, we see that the 1-parameter formal deformations of $D(X)$ induced by $D_\omega(X)$, $\omega \in E$, represent all elements of $H^2(X)$. Therefore, we get the following (apparently, well known) theorem.

Theorem 2.5. *$D_\omega(X)$, where ω lies in the formal neighborhood of the origin in E, is a universal formal deformation of $D(X)$.*

2.4. **Algebro-geometric preliminaries.**

Let Z be a smooth hypersurface in a smooth affine variety X. Let $i : Z \to X$ be the corresponding closed embedding. Let N denote the normal bundle of Z in X (a line bundle). Let $\mathcal{O}_X(Z)$ denote the module of regular functions on $X \setminus Z$ which have a pole of at most first order at Z. Then we have a natural map of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules $\phi : \mathcal{O}_X(Z) \to i_*N$. Indeed, we have a natural residue map $\eta : \mathcal{O}_X(Z) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega^1_X \to i_*\mathcal{O}_Z$ (where Ω^1_X is the module of 1-forms), hence a map $\eta' : \mathcal{O}_X(Z) \to i_*\mathcal{O}_Z \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} TX = i_*(TX|_Z)$ (where TX is the tangent bundle). The map ϕ is obtained by composing η' with the natural projection $TX|_Z \to N$.

We have an exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X(Z) \to i_*N \to 0$$

(the third map is ϕ). Thus we have a natural surjective map of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules $\xi_Z : TX \to \mathcal{O}_X(Z)/\mathcal{O}_X$.

2.5. **Rational Cherednik algebras.**

Recall the definition of rational Cherednik algebras (see e.g. [EG]).

Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a finite dimensional complex vector space, and G is a finite subgroup of $GL(\mathfrak{h})$. Let S be the set of complex reflections in G, i.e., elements which have only one eigenvalue not equal to 1. Let $t \in \mathbb{C}$, and $c : S \to \mathbb{C}$ be a G-invariant function. To this data one attaches an algebra $H_{t,c}(\mathfrak{h}, G)$, called the rational Cherednik algebra of $\mathfrak{h}, G$. It is generated by $G, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}^*$ with defining relations

$$gxg^{-1} = {}^g x, gyg^{-1} = {}^g y,\ [x, x'] = [y, y'] = 0,$$

and the main commutation relation

$$[y, x] = t(y, x) - \sum_{s \in S} c_s(y, \alpha_s)(x, \alpha_s^\vee)s,$$

where $x, x' \in \mathfrak{h}^*, y, y' \in \mathfrak{h}$, α_s is a nonzero linear function on $\mathfrak{h}$ vanishing on the fixed hyperplane of s in $\mathfrak{h}$, and $\alpha_s^\vee$ is the element of $\mathfrak{h}$ vanishing on the fixed hyperplane of s in $\mathfrak{h}^*$, such that $(\alpha_s, \alpha_s^\vee) = 2$.

The algebra $H_{t,c}(\mathfrak{h},G)$ has a natural representation in $M=\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$ by Dunkl-Opdam operators [DO]:

$$x\to x, g\to g,\ y\to D_y:=t\frac{\partial}{\partial y}+\sum_{s\in S}\frac{2c_s}{1-\lambda_s}\frac{(\alpha_s,y)}{\alpha_s}(s-1)$$

$(g\in G, x\in\mathfrak{h}^*, y\in\mathfrak{h})$, where λ_s is the nontrivial eigenvalue of s in $\mathfrak{h}^*$.

This representation is faithful. Thus we can alternatively define $H_{t,c}(\mathfrak{h},G)$ (for t,c being variables) as follows: $H_{t,c}(\mathfrak{h},G)$ is the subalgebra of the algebra $G\ltimes D(\mathfrak{h})_r[t,c]$ generated over $\mathbb{C}[t,c]$ by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{h}}=S\mathfrak{h}^*$, G, and the operators D_y (here $D(\mathfrak{h})_r$ is the algebra of differential operators on $\mathfrak{h}$ with rational coefficients).

The algebra $H_{t,c}(\mathfrak{h},G)$ has an increasing filtration $F^\bullet$, defined by the rule $\deg(G)=\deg(\mathfrak{h}^*)=0$, $\deg(\mathfrak{h})=1$. The PBW theorem (see e.g. [EG]) says that $\mathrm{gr}_F(H_{t,c}(\mathfrak{h},G))=G\ltimes\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{h}^*]$.

Let y_i be a basis of $\mathfrak{h}$ and x_i be the dual basis of $\mathfrak{h}^*$. Define the Euler element

$$\mathbf{h}=\sum_i x_iy_i+\frac{\ell t}{2}-\sum_{s\in S}\frac{2c_s}{1-\lambda_s}s\in H_{t,c}(\mathfrak{h},G),$$

where $\ell=\dim\mathfrak{h}$ (see [GGOR]). Recall that $[\mathbf{h},x]=x$ and $[\mathbf{h},y]=-y$ for $x\in\mathfrak{h}^*$, $y\in\mathfrak{h}$.

Recall [GGOR] that the category $\mathcal{O}$ for $H_{1,c}(\mathfrak{h},G)$ is the category of representations of $H_{1,c}(\mathfrak{h},G)$ which are direct sums of finite dimensional generalized eigenspaces of $\mathbf{h}$, with real part of the spectrum of $\mathbf{h}$ bounded from below. Thus, any category $\mathcal{O}$ module is graded by generalized eigenvalues of $\mathbf{h}$. Note also that any $M\in\mathcal{O}$ is finitely generated over the subalgebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$.

Now consider the formal completion $\widetilde{H}_{t,c}(\mathfrak{h},G)$ of the Cherednik algebra, i.e. its restriction to the formal neighborhood of zero in $\mathfrak{h}$. It comes with increasing filtration $F^\bullet$ and is defined by the rule $F^i\widetilde{H}_{t,c}(\mathfrak{h},G)=\mathbb{C}[[\mathfrak{h}]]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]}F^iH_{t,c}(\mathfrak{h},G)$.

If M is a category $\mathcal{O}$ module over $H_{1,c}(\mathfrak{h},G)$, then the completion $\widetilde{M}$ of M by the grading defined by eigenvalues of $\mathbf{h}$ is a representation of the algebra $\widetilde{H}_{t,c}(\mathfrak{h},G)$. The category $\mathcal{O}$ for the algebra $\widetilde{H}_{t,c}(\mathfrak{h},G)$ is defined as the category of modules of the form $\widetilde{M}$. Clearly, the functor $\mathcal{O}_{H_{1,c}}\to\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{H}_{1,c}}$ given by $M\mapsto\widetilde{M}$ is an equivalence of categories.

We will need the following result.

Proposition 2.6. *The category $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{H}_{1,c}(\mathfrak{h},G)}$ coincides with the category of $\widetilde{H}_{1,c}(\mathfrak{h},G)$-modules which are finitely generated over $\mathbb{C}[[\mathfrak{h}]]$.*

Proof. It is clear that the first category is a full subcategory of the second one; so our job is to show that any $\widetilde{H}_{1,c}(\mathfrak{h},G)$-module N which is finitely generated over $\mathbb{C}[[\mathfrak{h}]]$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}$.

Let I be the maximal ideal in $\mathbb{C}[[\mathfrak{h}]]$. The module N has a decreasing filtration $N\supset IN\supset I^2N\supset ...,$, such that the quotients N/I^kN are finite dimensional, and N is the inverse limit of N/I^kN. The element $\mathbf{h}$ normalizes I, and ad$\mathbf{h}$ has positive integer eigenvalues on I. This implies that for any $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$ the generalized eigenspaces $(N/I^kN)(\lambda)$ form a projective system which stabilizes at some $k=k(\lambda)$. In particular, $N(\lambda)$ is a finite dimensional space which coincides with $(N/I^kN)(\lambda)$ for large k. Thus, the spectrum of $\mathbf{h}$ in N is bounded from below (by the minimum of real parts of its eigenvalues on N/IN), and $N = \widetilde{M}$, where M is the direct sum of $N(\lambda)$. It is clear that $M \in \mathcal{O}_{1,c}(\mathfrak{h}, G)$. The proposition is proved. $\square$

Remark. Note that Proposition 2.6 is false for uncompleted algebras $H_{1,c}(\mathfrak{h}, G)$. Proposition 2.6 is analogous to the statement that any D-module on a formal polydisk X which is finitely generated as a module over $\mathcal{O}_X$ is a multiple of $\mathcal{O}_X$, which also fails in the uncompleted case, $X = \mathbb{A}^n$.

2.6. **The Cherednik algebra of a variety with a finite group action.** We will now generalize the definition of $H_{t,c}(\mathfrak{h}, G)$ to the global case. Let X be an affine algebraic variety over $\mathbb{C}$, and G be a finite group of automorphisms of X. Let E be a G-invariant subspace of the space of closed 2-forms on X, which projects isomorphically to $H^2(X)$. Consider the algebra $G \ltimes \mathcal{O}_{T^*X}$, where T^*X is the cotangent bundle of X. We are going to define a deformation $H_{t,c,\omega}(X, G)$ of this algebra parametrized by

1) complex numbers t,

2) G-invariant functions c on the (finite) set S of pairs $s = (Y, g)$, where $g \in G$, and Y is a connected component of the set of fixed points X^g such that $\mathrm{codim} Y = 1$, and

3) elements $\omega \in E^G = H^2(X)^G$.

If all the parameters are zero, this algebra will conicide with $G \ltimes \mathcal{O}_{T^*X}$.

Let $t, c = \{c(Y, g)\}, \omega \in E^G$ be variables. Let $D_{\omega/t}(X)_r$ be the algebra (over $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}, \omega]$) of twisted (by ω/t) differential operators on X with rational coefficients.

Definition 2.7. A Dunkl-Opdam operator for X, G is an element of $D_{\omega/t}(X)_r[c]$ given by the formula

$$D := t\mathbf{L}_v + \sum_{(Y,g)\in S} \frac{2c(Y,g)}{1-\lambda_{Y,g}} \cdot f_Y(x) \cdot (g-1), \tag{1}$$

where $\lambda_{Y,g}$ is the eigenvalue of g on the conormal bundle to Y, $v \in \Gamma(X, TX)$ is a vector field on X, and $f_Y \in \mathcal{O}_X(Z)$ is an element of the coset $\xi_Y(v) \in \mathcal{O}_X(Z)/\mathcal{O}_X$ (recall that ξ_Y is defined in Subsection 2.4).

Definition 2.8. The algebra $H_{t,c,\omega}(X, G)$ is the subalgebra of $D_{\omega/t}(X)_r[c]$ generated (over $\mathbb{C}[t, c, \omega]$) by the function algebra $\mathcal{O}_X$, the group G, and the Dunkl-Opdam operators.

By specializing t, c, ω to numerical values, we can define a family of algebras over $\mathbb{C}$, which we will also denote $H_{t,c,\omega}(X, G)$. Note that when we set $t = 0$, the term $t\mathbf{L}_v$ does not become 0 but turns into the classical momentum.

Definition 2.9. $H_{t,c,\omega}(X, G)$ is called the Cherednik algebra of X.

Example 2.10. $X = \mathfrak{h}$ is a vector space and G is a subgroup in $GL(\mathfrak{h})$. Let v be a constant vector field, and let $f_Y(x) = (\alpha_Y, v)/\alpha_Y(x)$, where $\alpha_Y \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is a nonzero functional vanishing on Y. Then the operator D is just the usual Dunkl-Opdam operator D_v in the complex reflection case (see Subsection 2.5). This implies that all the Dunkl-Opdam operators in the sense of Definition 2.7 have the form $\sum f_i D_{y_i} + a$, where $f_i \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$, $a \in G \ltimes \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$, and D_{y_i} are the usual Dunkl-Opdam operators (for some basis y_i of $\mathfrak{h}$). So the algebra $H_{t,c}(\mathfrak{h}, G) = H_{t,c,0}(X, G)$ is the rational Cherednik algebra for $\mathfrak{h}, G$, see subsection 2.5.

The algebra $H_{t,c,\omega}(X,G)$ has a filtration $F^\bullet$ which is defined on generators by $\deg(\mathcal{O}_X)=\deg(G)=0$, $\deg(D)=1$ for Dunkl-Opdam operators D.

Theorem 2.11. *(the PBW theorem) We have* $\mathrm{gr}_F(H_{t,c,\omega}(X,G))=G\ltimes\mathcal{O}(T^*X)[t,c,\omega]$.

Proof. Suppose first that $X=\mathfrak{h}$ is a vector space and G is a subgroup in $GL(\mathfrak{h})$. Then, as we mentioned, $H_{t,c,\omega}(X,G)$ is the rational Cherednik algebra for G. So in this case the theorem is true.

Now consider arbitrary X. We have a homomorphism of graded algebras $\psi:\mathrm{gr}_F(H_{t,c,\omega}(X,G))\to G\ltimes\mathcal{O}(T^*X)[t,c,\omega]$ (the principal symbol homomorphism). To define this homomorphism, consider another filtration $\Phi^\bullet$ on $H_{t,c,\omega}(X,G)$, obtained by restricting the usual filtration by order of differential operators from $G\ltimes D_{\omega/t}(X)_r[c]$. It is obvious that $F^m\subset\Phi^m$. Thus for any $a\in F^m/F^{m-1}$ we can define $\psi(a)$ to be the image of a in Φ^m/Φ^{m-1}. It is easy to see that $\psi(a)$ in fact belongs to $G\ltimes\mathcal{O}(T^*X)$ (i.e., has no poles). Thus ψ is well defined.

The homomorphism ψ is clearly surjective, and our job is to show that it is injective (this is the nontrivial part of the proof). In each degree, ψ is a morphism of finitely generated $\mathcal{O}_X^G$-modules. Therefore, to check its injectivity, it suffices to check the injectivity on the formal neighborhood of each point $z\in X/G$.

Let x be a preimage of z in X, and G_x be the stabilizer of x in G. Then G_x acts on the formal neighborhood U_x of x in X. But it is well known that any action of a finite group on a formal polydisk over $\mathbb{C}$ is equivalent to a linear action. Therefore, it suffices to prove the theorem in the linear case, which has been accomplished already. We are done. □

Remarks. 1. The following remark is meant to clarify the proof of Theorem 2.11. In the case $X=\mathfrak{h}$, the proof of Theorem 2.11 is based, essentially, on the (fairly nontrivial) fact that the usual Dunkl-Opdam operators D_v commute with each other. It is therefore very important to note that in contrast with the linear case, for a general X we do **not** have any natural commuting family of Dunkl-Opdam operators. Instead, the operators (1) satisfy a weaker property, which is still sufficient to validate the PBW theorem. This property says that if D_1,D_2,D_3 are Dunkl-Opdam operators corresponding to vector fields $v_1,v_2,v_3:=[v_1,v_2]$ and some choices of the functions f_Y, then $[D_1,D_2]-D_3\in G\ltimes\mathcal{O}(X)$ (i.e., it has no poles). To prove this property, it is sufficient to consider the case when X is a formal polydisk, with a linear action of G. But in this case everything follows from the commutativity of the "classical" Dunkl-Opdam operators D_v.

2. Suppose $G=1$. Then for $t\neq 0$, $H_{t,\omega}(X,G)=D_{\omega/t}(X)$. On the other hand, $H_{0,\omega}(X,G)$ is the Poisson algebra $\mathcal{O}(T^*_\omega X)$.

3. For any G, $H_{0,0,\omega}(X,G)=G\ltimes\mathcal{O}(T^*_\omega X)$, and $H_{1,0,\omega}(X,G)=G\ltimes D_\omega(X)$. Also, if $\lambda\neq 0$ then $H_{\lambda t,\lambda c,\lambda\omega}(X,G)=H_{t,c,\omega}(X,G)$.

4. The construction of $H_{t,c,\omega}(X,G)$ and the PBW theorem extend in a straightforward manner to the case when the ground field is not $\mathbb{C}$ but an algebraically closed field k of positive characteristic, provided that the order of the group G is relatively prime to the characteristic.

2.7. **Cherednik algebra as a universal deformation.**

Lemma 2.12. *If for some* (c,ω) *the first order deformation* $H_{1,\hbar c,\hbar\omega}(X,G)$ *of* $G\ltimes D(X)$ *over* $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]/\hbar^2$ *is trivial then* $c=0$, $\omega=0$.

Proof. Localizing the algebra to formal neighborhoods of points of X/G and using that the lemma is true in the linear case (Theorem 2.16 in [EG]), we obtain that $c = 0$. The rest follows from Lemma 2.4. □

Theorem 2.13. *The algebra* $H_{1,c,\omega}(X, G)$ *(with formal* c *and* ω*) is a universal formal deformation of* $H_{1,0,0}(X, G) = G \ltimes D(X)$.

Proof. From Corollary 2.3, we get:

Proposition 2.14. *One has*

$$HH^2(G \ltimes D(X), G \ltimes D(X)) = H^2(X)^G \oplus (\oplus_{(Y,g)\in S} H^0(Y))^G.$$

(Note that $H^0(Y) = \mathbb{C}$; we wrote $H^0(Y)$ to make more obvious the action of G.)

Thus, the dimension of $HH^2(G\ltimes D(X))$ is the same as the dimension of the space of parameters (c, ω). Therefore, Theorem 2.13 follows immediately from Lemma 2.12. □

Remark 1. A special case of the construction of $H_{t,c,\omega}(X)$ is as follows. Let L be a G-equivariant line bundle on X. Define $H^L_{t,c}(X)$ to be the algebra generated by $\mathcal{O}_X$, G, and the Dunkl-Opdam operators regarded as elements of the smash product of G with the algebra of differential operators on L with rational coefficients. It is easy to see that $H^L_{t,c}(X) = H_{t,c,t\omega}(X)$, where ω is the curvature of a G-stable connection on L.

Remark 2. Assume $G = 1$. Consider the family of algebras $H_{t,\omega/t}(X) := H_{t,\omega/t,0}(X, G)$. As $t \to 0$, this algebra can be naturally degenerated into the algebra $H_\infty(X, \omega)$ defined by generators $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$ and p_v, $v \in Vect(X)$, with defining relations

$$fp_v = p_{fv} = p_v f, \ [p_v, p_w] = \omega(v, w).$$

Thus, $H_\infty(X, \omega)$ is a quantization of the (degenerate) Poisson structure on T^*X, whose Poisson bracket is defined by the formula

$$\{f, g\} = \pi^*\omega(v_f, v_g),$$

where $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_{T^*X}$, and v_f, v_g the corresponding Hamiltonian vector fields. The algebra $\mathcal{O}_{T^*X}$ with this Poisson structure is obtained as the limit of $H_{0,\omega/t}(X)$ when t goes to zero.

Remark 3. The above results generalize without significant changes to the case when the group G acts on X in a not necessarily faithful manner. In this case, let K be the kernel of this action, so that $G/K \subset \mathrm{Aut}(X)$. Then the algebra $H_{t,c,\omega}(X, G)$ is defined as above, except that ω is a G-invariant 2-form on X with values in the center of the group algebra of K. The algebra $H_{t,c,\omega}(X, G)$ satisfies the PBW theorem and $H_{1,c,\omega}(X, G)$ is a universal deformation of $H_{1,0,0}(X, G) = G \ltimes D(X)$. These results are proved similarly to the case of the faithful action.

2.8. **The spherical subalgebra and the center of the Cherednik algebra.**

Let $\mathrm{e} = |G|^{-1} \sum_{g\in G} g$ be the symmetrizing idempotent of G. Then we can define the spherical subalgebra $\mathrm{e}H_{t,c,\omega}(X, G)\mathrm{e}$ of $H_{t,c,\omega}$(X,G). We denote by $Z_{0,c,\omega}(X, G)$ the center of $H_{0,c,\omega}(X, G)$.

Theorem 2.15. *(i)* $\mathrm{gr}_F(Z_{0,c,\omega}(X, G)) = Z_{0,0,0}(X, G) = \mathcal{O}_{T^*X/G}$.

(ii) (Satake isomorphism) The map $z \to z\mathrm{e}$ *gives an isomorphism* $Z_{0,c,\omega}(X, G) \to \mathrm{e}H_{0,c,\omega}(X, G)\mathrm{e}$. *In particular,* $\mathrm{e}H_{0,c,\omega}(X, G)\mathrm{e}$ *is a commutative algebra.*

Proof. Part (i) is obvious from the definition. Part (ii) follows from (i), since (i) means that the associated graded of the map (ii) is an isomorphism. □

Let $M_{c,\omega}(X,G)$ be the spectrum of $Z_{0,c,\omega}(X,G)$. It follows from the above that $M_{c,\omega}(X,G)$ is an irreducible Poisson variety (the Poisson structure comes from the deformation of $\mathrm{e}H_{0,c,\omega}(X,G)\mathrm{e}$ into $\mathrm{e}H_{t,c,\omega}(X,G)\mathrm{e}$). We also have a Lagrangian map $\pi : M_{c,\omega}(X,G) \to X/G$, whose generic fiber is T_x^*X.

Example 2.16. $M_{0,\omega}(X,G) = T_\omega^*X/G$.

Note that the variety structure of $M_{c,\omega}(X,G)$ is independent of ω, and only the Poisson bracket depends of ω. In fact, the dependence of the Poisson bracket on $M_{c,\omega}(X,G)$ on ω is given by the formula

$$\{f,g\}_\omega = \{f,g\}_0 + \pi^*\omega(v_f, v_g)$$

where v_f, v_g are the hamiltonian vector fields corresponding to functions f, g.

2.9. **Globalization.** Now let X be any smooth algebraic variety, and $G \subset \mathrm{Aut}(X)$. Assume that X admits a cover by affine G-invariant open sets. Then the quotient variety X/G exists.

For any affine open set U in X/G, let U' be the preimage of U in X. Then we can define the algebra $H_{t,c,0}(U',G)$ as above. If $U \subset V$, we have an obvious restriction map $H_{t,c,0}(V',G) \to H_{t,c,0}(U',G)$. The gluing axiom is clearly satisfied. Thus the collection of algebras $H_{t,c,0}(U',G)$ can be extended (by sheafification) to a sheaf of algebras on X/G. We are going to denote this sheaf by $H_{t,c,0,X,G}$ and call it the sheaf of Cherednik algebras on X/G. Thus, $H_{t,c,0,X,G}(U) = H_{t,c,0}(U',G)$.

Similarly, if $\psi \in H^2(X, \Omega_X^{\geq 1})^G$, we can define the sheaf of twisted Cherednik algebras $H_{t,c,\psi,X,G}$. This is done similarly to the case of twisted differential operators (which is the case $G = 1$). In particular, if L is a G-equivariant line bundle on X, then we can define the sheaf of algebras $H_{t,c,X,G}^L$ in the obvious way (it is glued out of the algebras $H_{t,c}^L(U',G)$).

Analogously, the varieties $M_{c,\psi}(U',G)$ can be glued into a single variety $M_{c,\psi}(X,G)$, which is Poisson and has a surjective Lagrangian projection $\pi : M_{c,\psi}(X) \to X$.

Definition 2.17. The variety $M_{c,\psi}(X,G)$ is called the Calogero-Moser space of X.

Example. $M_{0,\psi}(X,G) = T_\psi^*X$, the twisted cotangent bundle of X [BB], Section 2.

2.10. **Modified Cherednik algebras.** It will be convenient for us to use a slight modification of the sheaf $H_{t,c,\psi,X,G}$. Namely, let η be a function on the set of conjugacy classes of Y such that $(Y,g) \in S$. We define $H_{t,c,\eta,\psi,X,G}$ in the same way as $H_{t,c,\psi,X,G}$ except that the Dunkl-Opdam operators are defined by the formula

$$D := t\mathbf{L}_v + \sum_{(Y,g)\in S} f_Y(x)\{(\frac{2c(Y,g)}{1-\lambda_{Y,g}}(g-1) + \eta(Y)\}). \tag{2}$$

The following result shows that this modification is in fact tautological. Let ψ_Y be the class in $H^2(X, \Omega_X^{\geq 1})$ defined by the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(Y)^{-1}$, whose sections are functions vanishing on Y.

Proposition 2.18. *One has an isomorophism* $H_{t,c,\eta,\psi,X,G} \to H_{t,c,\psi+\sum_Y \eta(Y)\psi_Y,X,G}$.

Proof. Let $y \in Y$ and z be a function on the formal neighborhood of y such that $z|_Y = 0$ and $dz_y \neq 0$. Extend it to a system of local formal coordinates $z_1 = z, z_2, ..., z_d$ near y. A Dunkl-Opdam operator near y for the vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ can be written in the form

$$D = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{z}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \frac{2c(Y, g^m)}{1 - \lambda_{Y,g}^m}(1 - g^m) + \eta(Y)\right).$$

Conjugating this operator by the formal expression $z^{\eta(Y)}$, we get

$$z^{\eta(Y)} \circ D \circ z^{-\eta(Y)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{z}\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \frac{2c(Y, g^m)}{1 - \lambda_{Y,g}^m}(1 - g^m)$$

This implies the required statement. □

We note that the sheaf $H_{1,c,\eta,0,X,G}$ localizes to $G \ltimes D_X$ on the complement of all the hypersurfaces Y. This follows from the fact that the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(Y)$ is trivial on the complement of Y.

2.11. **Examples.** In this subsection we will give a few examples of Cherednik algebras and Calogero-Moser spaces of varieties. We plan to give more details in future publications.

Example 2.19. Let C be a smooth complex algebraic curve, and $X = C^n$, $G = S_n$ ($n > 1$). In this case, c is a single parameter. If $C = \mathbb{C}$ then $H_{t,c,0}(X, G)$ is the rational Cherednik algebra corresponding to the group S_n acting in the permutation representation $\mathbb{C}^n$ (see [EG]). If $C = \mathbb{C}^*$ then $H_{t,c,0}(X, G)$ is the trigonometric Cherednik algebra for S_n (the degenerate double affine Hecke algebra), defined by Cherednik. But for other curves we obtain new algebras. Note that if the curve is projective, then the sheaf $H_{t,c,0,X,G}$ admits a 1-parameter deformation $H_{t,c,\psi,X,G}$ (where ψ is a multiple of the class of the line bundle $L^{\boxtimes n}$, L being a degree 1 line bundle on C).

Note that for any curve C and $c \neq 0$, the varieties $M_{c,\psi}(X, G)$ are smooth and admit a Lagrangian projection to S^nC. This is checked by looking at formal neighborhoods of points of $X/G = S^nC$, where the statement follows from the results of [EG].

As an example, look at the case $C = \mathbb{P}^1$, and consider the algebra of global sections $A_{t,c,\omega} = \Gamma(H_{t,c,\omega,X,G})$. It is easy to see that $A_{1,0,\psi} = S_n \ltimes D_\psi(\mathbb{P}^1)^{\otimes n} = S_n \ltimes \mathcal{D}_\psi^{\otimes n}$, where $\mathcal{D}_\psi$ is the Dixmier quotient, i.e. the quotient of $U(sl_2)$ by the central character of an sl_2-module with highest weight ψ. More generally, let $H_{t,c'}(\mathbb{C}^n, S_n \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_2^n)$, $c' = (c'_1, c'_2)$, be the rational Cherednik algebra of type B_n (see [BEG1], Section 6). Let p be the averaging idempotent for the group $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$. Then one can show that $A_{t,c,\psi}$ is isomorphic to $pH_{t,c'}(\mathbb{C}^n, S_n \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_2^n)p$ for c' related to (c, ψ) by the invertible linear transformation: $c'_1 = c, c'_2 = \psi - (n-1)c + t/2$.

This realization allows us to give a Borel-Weil type construction of some finite dimensional representations of $A_{1,c,\psi} = pH_{1,c'}(\mathbb{C}^n, S_n \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_2^n)p$. Namely, assume that $t = 1$ and $\psi = m$ is a nonnegative integer. Then the algebra $A_{1,c,\psi}$ admits a representation W_m of dimension $(m+1)^n$, on global sections of the line bundle $(L^{\boxtimes n})^{\otimes m}$. It can be checked that this representation has the form $pN(\mathbb{C})$, where $N(\mathbb{C})$ is a highest weight representation of $H_{1,c'}(\mathbb{C}^n, S_n \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_2^n)$ with trivial highest weight (of dimension $(2m+1)^n$), introduced in [BEG1], Theorem 6.1.

Example 2.20. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a finite dimensional complex vector space, G be a finite subgroup in $PGL(\mathfrak{h})$, and G' its preimage in $GL(\mathfrak{h})$. Let $\widehat{G}$ be the intersection of all subgroups of G' which project onto G, and which contain all complex reflections of G'. It is easy to see that $\widehat{G}$ is a finite group. Let K be the intersection of $\widehat{G}$ with scalars in $GL(\mathfrak{h})$; it is clearly a cyclic group, and $\widehat{G}/K = G$.

Let $X = \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{h}$ be the projective space of $\mathfrak{h}$. Then we can define the sheaf $H_{t,c,\psi,X,G}$, where ψ is a single parameter (corresponding to twisting by a power of the tautological line bundle).

Observe that the set S of pairs (Y, g) can be G-equivariantly identified with the set of complex reflections in $\widehat{G}$; thus the parameter c is a function on the set of conjugacy classes of complex reflections in $\widehat{G}$.

Consider the algebra of global sections of the sheaf $H_{t,c,\psi,X,G}$, which we denote by $A_{t,c,\psi}$. It is easy to show that $A_{1,0,\psi} = G \ltimes D_\psi(\mathbb{P}\mathfrak{h})$. In other words, $A_{1,0,\psi} = (\widehat{G} \ltimes D(\mathfrak{h})[0])/(E = \psi, K = 1)$, where $D(\mathfrak{h})[0]$ is the algebra of differential operators on $\mathfrak{h}$ which are stable under the Euler vector field E. More generally, one can show that

$$A_{t,c,\psi} = H_{t,c}(\mathfrak{h}, \widehat{G})[0]/(\mathbf{h} = \frac{\ell t}{2} - \sum_{s \in S} \frac{2c_s}{1 - \lambda_s} + \psi,\ K = 1),$$

(note that $\mathbf{h}$ is a central element of $H_{t,c}(\mathfrak{h}, G)[0]$).

Example 2.21. Let us specialize the previous example to the case $\dim \mathfrak{h} = 2$. Thus $G \subset PSU(2)$. Let Γ be the preimage of G in $SU(2)$. The kernel of the map from Γ to G consists of the identity 1 and minus identity z.

Note that we have a natural bijection from $SU(2) \setminus \{1, z\}$ to the set of pairs (y, g), where $g \in PSU(2)$ and $y \in \mathbb{P}^1$ is a fixed point of g. This map is defined by $\gamma \to (y, \bar{\gamma})$, where $\bar{\gamma}$ is the projection of γ to $PSU(2)$, and y is the fixed line of γ on which it acts with eigenvalue having positive imaginary part. This shows that the set S can be identified with the set of conjugacy classes in Γ of elements not equal to $1, z$. Let $p_z = (1 + z)/2$.

Consider the sheaf $H_{t,c,\psi}(\mathbb{P}^1, G)$. Let the algebra of its global sections be denoted by $A_{t,c,\psi}$. It is easy to show that $A_{1,0,\psi} = G \ltimes D_\psi(\mathbb{P}^1)$.

Recall that in [CBH], Crawley-Boevey and Holland defined a family of algebras Q_λ, parametrized by elements λ of the center of $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$, which are the quotient of the smash product $\Gamma \ltimes T(\mathbb{C}^2)$ of Γ with the tensor algebra of the tautological representation by the ideal generated by $xy - yx - \lambda$ (where x, y is a basis of $\mathbb{C}^2$).

Thus, $A_{1,0,\psi} = p_z Q_\lambda p_z$ for $\lambda = 1 - z$. More generally, one can show that $A_{t,c,\psi} = p_z Q_\lambda p_z$, where λ is related by an invertible transformation with (t, c, ψ).

The combination of the above two examples shows that the algebra $p_z Q_\lambda p_z$ can be obtained as a quotient of $H_{t,c}(\mathfrak{h}, \widehat{G})[0]$.

This allows one to explicitly construct finite dimensional representations of $p_z Q_\lambda p_z$ (this is done somewhat implicitly in [CBH]). One way is to look at representations of $H_{t,c}(\mathfrak{h}, W)[0]$ on weight subspaces of representations of $H_{t,c}(\mathfrak{h}, W)$ from category $\mathcal{O}$ (for $t \neq 0$). Another method is to use the equality $A_{t,c,\psi} = p_z Q_\lambda p_z$, and consider finite dimensional representations of $A_{t,c,\psi}$ for integer $\psi = m$ on sections of the G-equivariant vector bundle $L \otimes V$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$ (L is the tautological line bundle, and V is an irreducible representation of Γ in which z acts by $(-1)^m$).

2.12. Finite dimensional $H_{t,c,\psi,X,G}$-modules.

An $H_{t,c,\psi,X,G}$-module is a quasi-coherent sheaf on X/G with a compatible action of the sheaf of algebras $H_{t,c,\psi,X,G}$.

Of special interest is the category of finitely generated $H_{t,c,\psi,X,G}$-modules M, i.e., quotients of $H_{t,c,\psi,X,G}^{\oplus n}$. This category is a generalization of the category of finitely generated G-equivariant twisted D-modules on X, and deserves a special study, but we will limit ourselves to discussing finite dimensional objects M in this category, i.e., such that the space of sections $M(U)$ on every open set U is finite dimensional. Such modules exist for $t = 0$ and also for $t \neq 0$ and special values of c.

For $x \in X$, let G_x be the stabilizer of x in G; then $G_x \subset GL(T_xX)$. Let c_x be the function on the set of conjugacy classes of complex reflections in G_x defined by $c_x(g) = c(Y_g, g)$, where Y_g is the component of X^g that passes through x.

Proposition 2.22. *(i) Let M be an indecomposable finite dimensional module over $H_{t,c,\psi,X,G}$. Then the set-theoretical support of M on X/G consists of one point $z \in X/G$.*

(ii) Let x be any point of X projecting to z. The category of finite dimensional $H_{t,c,\psi,X,G}$-modules supported at z is equivalent to the category of finite dimensional modules over the rational algebra $H_{t,c_x}(T_xX, G_x)$ attached to the group G_x acting in T_xX.

Proof. (i) The statement follows from the fact that the adjoint action of $\mathcal{O}_{X/G}(U)$ on $H_{t,c,\psi,X,G}(U')$ is locally nilpotent.

(ii) Let M be a finite dimensional $H_{t,c,\psi,X,G}$ module supported at z. Then the maximal ideal sheaf I_z of z acts nilpotently on M, so M can be extended to a module over the (degreewise) completion $\widetilde{H}^z_{t,c,\psi,X,G}$ of $H_{t,c,\psi,X,G}$ with respect to I_z (this completion is defined similarly to the linear case, see subsection 2.5).

Let $\phi : X \to X/G$ be the natural map. The algebra $\widetilde{H}^z_{t,c,\psi,X,G}$ is isomorphic (non-canonically) to $\oplus_{y \in \phi^{-1}(z)} \widetilde{H}_{t,c_y}(T_yX, G_y)$, where tilde denotes the completion defined in subsection 2.5. Thus the fiber M_x of M over x (as an $\mathcal{O}$-module) has a natural structure of a $\widetilde{H}_{t,c_x}(T_xX, G_x)$-module. Restricting this module to the algebra $H_{t,c}(T_xX, G_x)$, we obtain a functor in one direction, given by $M \to M_x$.

To construct the functor in the opposite direction, let N be a finite dimensional module over $H_{t,c_x}(T_xX, G_x)$. Let $N' = \mathrm{Ind}_{G_x}^{G}(N)$. Arguing as above, we can turn N' into a module over $H_{t,c,\psi,X,G}$. So the desired functor is $N \to N'$. It is easy to verify that the two functors are mutually inverse, which proves (ii). $\square$

Thus the problem of describing finite dimensional representations of $H_{t,c,\psi,X,G}$ reduces to the linear case, treated in [BEG1] and references therein.

2.13. **Quasiinvariants.** In this subsection we will discuss the global version of the theory of quasiinvariants for reflection groups. One can generalize it to complex reflection case using the ideas of the work in progress [BC].

Let X be a smooth complex algebraic variety, $G \subset \mathrm{Aut}(X)$, and assume that the quotient X/G exists. Let $S_2 \subset S$ be the set of pairs $(Y, g) \subset S$ for which $g^2 = 1$. Any function on S_2 can be regarded as a function on S using extension by zero.

Recall that $\phi : X \to X/G$ denotes the natural map.

Let $m : S_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ be a conjugation invariant function. The sheaf of m-quasiinvariants of X, G, denoted $Q_{m,X,G}$, is defined to be the subsheaf of $\phi_*\mathcal{O}_X$ whose local sections satisfy the condition: for any $(Y, g) \in S_2$, $f - {}^g f$ vanishes to order $2m(Y, g) + 1$ at Y. This is a sheaf of rings on X/G. This sheaf is the structure sheaf of an algebraic variety X_m, together with a natural map $\zeta : X \to X_m$ (the normalization map).

By looking at formal neighborhoods of points, it is easy to check (see [BEG]) that ζ is birational and bijective.

With these definitions, it is not hard to see that the main results of [EG1, BEG] extend to the global case. Namely, we have the following result.

Proposition 2.23. *X_m is a Gorenstein variety.*

Proof. The statement is local with respect to X/G, so it can be checked on formal neighborhoods of points, which is the Feigin-Veselov conjecture proved in [EG1, BEG]. □

Now observe that the sheaf of algebras $H_{1,m,0,X,G}$ acts on $\phi_*\mathcal{O}_X$. Hence the sheaf of spherical subalgebras $\mathrm{e}H_{1,m,0,X,G}\mathrm{e}$ acts in $\mathrm{e}\phi_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_{X/G}$ by differential operators. Thus we can extend this action to an action on $\mathbb{C}(X)$ (by using the same differential operators).

Proposition 2.24. *$Q_{m,X,G}$ is invariant under the action of the sheaf of spherical subalgebras $\mathrm{e}H_{1,m,0,X,G}\mathrm{e}$.*

Proof. This is again a local statement, so it can be checked on formal neighborhoods of points, which is done in [BEG]. □

3. Cherednik algebras of analytic varieties and Hecke algebras

The construction and main properties of the Cherednik algebras of algebraic varieties can be extended without significant changes to the case when X is a complex analytic variety or a smooth real manifold. We will not specify the routine modifications involved; rather, we will use Cherednik algebras for analytic varieties to define certain deformations of orbifold fundamental groups which we call Hecke algebras, and prove that in certain cases they are flat.

3.1. **Orbifold fundamental group.** Let X be a complex analytic variety, and G is a finite group of automorphisms of X. Then X/G is a complex orbifold. Let $x \in X$ be a point with trivial stabilizer. In this case we can define the orbifold fundamental group $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(X/G, x)$. This group is generated by homotopy classes of paths on X connecting x and gx for $g \in G$, with multiplication defined by the rule: $\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2$ is γ_2 followed by $g\gamma_1$, where g is such that gx is the endpoint of γ_2. We have an exact sequence

$$1 \to \pi_1(X, x) \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(X/G, x) \to G \to 1.$$

Note also that $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(X/G, x) = \pi_1((X \times EG)/G)$, where EG is the universal cover of the classifying space of G. In other words, the action of G on X gives rise to an associated bundle with fiber X over the classifying space BG, and $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(X/G, x)$ is the fundamental group of the total space of this bundle.

Let Z be the set of all points of X having a nontrivial stabilizer; then Z is a closed subset of X. Let $X' = X \setminus Z$. The fundamental group $\pi_1(X'/G, x)$ will be called the braid group of X/G.

Now let S be the set of pairs (Y, g) such that Y is a component of X^g of codimension 1 in X. For $(Y, g) \in S$, let G_Y be the subgroup of G whose elements act trivially on Y. This group is obviously cyclic; let $n_Y = |G_Y|$. Let C_Y be the conjugacy class in $\pi_1(X'/G, x)$ corresponding to a small circle going counterclockwise around the image of Y in X/G.

Proposition 3.1. *The group* $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(X/G,x)$ *is a quotient of the braid group* $\pi_1(X'/G,x)$ *by the relations* $T^{n_Y}=1$ *for all* $T\in C_Y$*.*

Proof. We have an obvious surjective map $\phi:\pi_1(X'/G,x)\to\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(X/G,x)$ induced by the embedding $X'\to X$. The kernel of this map obviously contains elements T^{n_Y}, $T\in C_Y$. The fact that the kernel is generated by these elements follows from the Seifert-van Kampen theorem. □

3.2. **The Hecke algebra of X/G.** For any conjugacy class of hypersurfaces Y such that $(Y,g)\in S$ we introduce formal parameters $\tau_{1Y},...,\tau_{n_YY}$. The entire collection of these parameters will be denoted by τ.

Definition 3.2. We define the Hecke algebra of X,G, denoted $\mathcal{H}_\tau(X,G,x)$, to be the quotient of the group algebra of the braid group, $\mathbb{C}[\pi_1(X'/G,x)][[\tau]]$, by the relations

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n_Y}(T-e^{2\pi ij/n_Y}e^{\tau_{jY}})=0,\ T\in C_Y. \tag{3}$$

It is clear that up to an isomorphism this algebra is independent on the choice of x, so we will sometimes drop x form the notation. It follows from Proposition 3.1 that $\mathcal{H}_\tau(X,G,x)/(\tau=0)=\mathbb{C}[\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(X/G,x)]$. Thus, $\mathcal{H}_\tau(X,G)$ is a deformation of $\mathbb{C}[\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(X/G)]$.

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *Assume that* $\pi_2(X)\otimes\mathbb{Q}=0$*. Then* $\mathcal{H}_\tau(X,G)$ *is a* **flat** *formal deformation of* $\mathbb{C}[\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(X/G)]$*.*

Remark. One can also define the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_\tau(X,G,x)$ for complex parameters τ, generalizing the above formal definition in an obvious way.

The rest of the section contains the proof of Theorem 3.3 and examples of its application.

3.3. **The Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov functor.** In this subsection we will define a global analog of the KZ functor defined in [GGOR]. This functor will be used as a tool of proof of Theorem 3.3.

As we mentioned, similarly to the algebraic case we can define the sheaf of algebras $H_{1,c,\eta,0,X,G}$ on X/G. Note that the restriction of this sheaf to X'/G is the same as the restriction of the sheaf $G\ltimes D_X$ to X'/G (i.e. on X'/G, the dependence of the sheaf on the parameters c and η disappears). This follows from the fact that the line bundles $\mathcal{O}_X(Y)$ become trivial when restricted to X'.

Now let M be a module over $H_{1,c,\eta,0,X,G}$ which is a locally free coherent sheaf when restricted to X'/G. Then the restriction of M to X'/G is a G-equivariant D-module on X' which is coherent and locally free as an $\mathcal{O}$-module. Thus, M corresponds to a locally constant sheaf (local system) on X'/G, which gives rise to a monodromy representation of the braid group $\pi_1(X'/G,x)$. This representation will be denoted by $KZ(M)$. This defines a functor KZ, which is analogous to the one in [GGOR].

It follows from the theory of D-modules that any $\mathcal{O}_{X/G}$-coherent $H_{1,c,\eta,0,X,G}$-module is locally free when restricted to X'/G. Thus the KZ functor acts from the abelian category $\mathcal{C}_{c,\eta}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{X/G}$-coherent $H_{1,c,\eta,0,X,G}$-modules to the category of representations of $\pi_1(X'/G,x)$. It is easy to see that this functor is exact.

For any Y, let g_Y be the generator of G_Y which has eigenvalue $e^{2\pi i/n_Y}$ in the normal bundle to Y. Let $(c,\eta)\to\tau(c,\eta)$ be the invertible linear transformation defined by the formula

$$\tau_{jY}=-2\pi i(2\sum_{m=1}^{n_Y-1}c(Y,g_Y^m)\frac{1-e^{-2\pi ijm/n_Y}}{1-e^{-2\pi im/n_Y}}+\eta(Y))/n_Y.$$

Proposition 3.4. *The functor KZ maps the category $\mathcal{C}_{c,\eta}$ to the category of representations of the algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\tau(c,\eta)}(X,G)$.*

Proof. Let $M\in\mathcal{C}_{c,\eta}$. Let $y\in Y$ be a generic point. Let us restrict M (as a coherent sheaf) to the formal neighborhood of y in X; this will give a module M_y. The formal neighborhood of y in X can be G_Y-equivariantly identified with the formal neighborhood of zero in T_yX; thus, we can view M_y as a module over the completion of the modified Cherednik algebra $\widetilde{H}_{1,c_y,\eta_y}(T_yX,G_Y)$ (where c_y,η_y are appropriate restrictions of c,η). According to Proposition 2.6, this module belongs to category $\mathcal{O}$.

The localization of M_y to the complement of T_yY is a G_Y-equivariant D-module on the formal neighborhood of zero in T_yX punctured along T_yY. This D-module is given by a flat connection with regular singularities along Y (since Dunkl-Opdam operators have regular singularities). This connection has a well defined monodromy operator T, defined up to conjugation. Our job is to show that T satisfies polynomial equation (3). For this it suffices to show that if N is any module over $\widetilde{H}_{1,c_y,\eta_y}(T_xY,G_Y)$ from category $\mathcal{O}$, then the corresponding monodromy operator T satisfies equation (3).

Let $H_{1,c,\eta}(\mathfrak{h},G)$ denote the algebra of global sections of the sheaf $H_{1,c,0,\eta,\mathfrak{h},G}$. Recall [GGOR], Theorem 5.13:

Theorem. If $G\subset GL(\mathfrak{h})$ is a complex reflection group, and N is a module over $H_{1,c,\eta}(\mathfrak{h},G)$ from category $\mathcal{O}$ then $KZ(N)$ is a module over $\mathcal{H}_{\tau(c,\eta)}(\mathfrak{h},G)$.

Thus the required statement follows from [GGOR], Theorem 5.13 for cyclic groups G. $\square$

Remark. Note that Theorem 5.13 of [GGOR] for cyclic groups G is very easy to prove. Namely, let $n=|G|$, and g be the generator of G whose nontrivial eigenvalue in $\mathfrak{h}$ is $e^{2\pi i/n}$. Let χ_j be the character of G given by $\chi_j(g)=e^{2\pi ij/n}$. An easy computation (see [GGOR]) shows that if N is the standard (=Verma) module $M(\chi_j)$ with highest weight χ_j, then $KZ(N)$ is the 1-dimensional character ζ_j of $\mathcal{H}_\tau$, given by

$$\zeta_j(T)=\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}(j-2\sum_{m=1}^{n-1}c_{g^m}\frac{1-e^{-2\pi ijm/n}}{1-e^{-2\pi im/n}}-\eta)\right).$$

Now, since KZ is an exact functor, it suffices to prove the statement for projective objects $N=P$. A projective object P for cyclic G has a Verma flag, where each Verma module occurs at most once, and any occurring Verma module $N(\chi_j)$ gives rise to the same character $\zeta_j=\zeta$ (this immediately follows from the BGG reciprocity). Thus, on $KZ(P)$ we have $(T-\zeta(T))^l=0$, where l is the number of j such that $\zeta_j=\zeta$. This implies that equation $\prod_{j=1}^n(T-\zeta_j(T))$ holds in $KZ(P)$, as desired.

3.4. **A lemma on deformations.** Let $\widetilde{X}$ be the universal covering space of X with base point x. Let $\pi : \widetilde{X} \to X$ be the covering map. Consider the sheaf $M' = \pi_!(\mathcal{O})$, the direct image with compact supports of the structure sheaf. Thus sheaf has a natural structure of a D-module on X. Let $M = \mathrm{Ind}_{D_X}^{G \ltimes D_X} M'$ be the corresponding equivariant D-module. Thus M is a module over $H_{1,0,0,0,X,G}$.

A central role in the proof of Theorem 3.3 is played by the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *If $\pi_2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ then the G-equivariant D-module M has a unique formal deformation to a module over $H_{1,c,\eta,\psi,X,G}$.*

Proof. As usual, first order deformations lie in $\mathrm{Ext}^1(M, M)$ and obstructions in $\mathrm{Ext}^2(M, M)$ (the Exts are taken in the category of G-equivariant D-modules on X). So it suffices to show that these two Ext groups vanish.

Using Shapiro's lemma and the fact that the functor π^* (inverse image) is right adjoint to $\pi_!$, we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{G \ltimes D_X}(M, M) = \mathrm{Ext}^i_{D_X}(M', \mathrm{Res} M) = \mathrm{Ext}^i_{D_{\widetilde{X}}}(\mathcal{O}, \pi^* \mathrm{Res} M).$$

(Here *Res* denotes the functor of forgetting the G-equivariant structure of a G-equivariant D-modules on X). But it is easy to show that $\pi^* \mathrm{Res} M = \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{C}[\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(X/G)]$. Thus,

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{G \ltimes D_X}(M, M) = \mathrm{Ext}^i_{D_{\widetilde{X}}}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(X/G)].$$

Thus, using Proposition 2.1 for analytic varieties, we finally obtain

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{G \ltimes D_X}(M, M) = H^i(\widetilde{X}, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(X/G)].$$

Since $\widetilde{X}$ is simply connected, this clearly vanishes for $i = 1$. Now, since $\pi_2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$, we have $\pi_2(\widetilde{X}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$, and hence by Hurewicz's theorem $H^2(\widetilde{X}, \mathbb{C}) = 0$. Thus Ext^2 also vanishes, and we are done. □

3.5. **Proof of Theorem 3.3.** Let $M_{1,c,\eta,\psi}$ be the deformation of M whose existence and uniqueness is claimed in Lemma 3.5. Then $M_{1,c,\eta,0}$ becomes an ordinary G-equivariant D-module when restricted to the open set X'.

We begin with explaining that even though the module $M_{1,c,\eta,0}$ is, in general, infinitely generated as an $\mathcal{O}$-module, we can still apply the functor KZ to it and obtain a braid group representation $KZ(M_{1,c,\eta,0})$. Essentially, this is possible because the parameters c, η are formal, and thus the differential equation whose monodromy needs to be computed can be solved using Chen integrals, like in Drinfeld's work on the formal KZ equation [Dr].

In more detail, let $\{B_i\}$ be a cover of X'/G by small balls. On each B_i, the module M can be trivialized, i.e., identified with $\mathcal{O} \otimes F$, where $F = \mathbb{C}[\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(X/G)]$, so that the flat connection on $M|_{X'/G}$ becomes the trivial connection. Then we get transition maps g_{ij}^0 on $B_i \cap B_j$, which are just elements of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(X/G)$.

Now consider the module $M_{1,c,\eta,0}$, which is a deformation of $M_{1,0,0,0} = M$. On X'/G, this is a deformation of bundles with a flat connection, so it can be understood as a collection of elements $g_{ij}(c,\eta)(z)$ (transition functions), and $\omega_i(c,\eta)$ (connection forms). Here $g_{ij}(c,\eta)$ are formal series in c, η with coefficients in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(F, \mathcal{O}(B_i \cap B_j) \otimes F)$, and $\omega_i(c,\eta)$ are formal series in c, η with coefficients in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(F, \Omega^{1,cl}(B_i) \otimes F)$, such that $g_{ij}(c,\eta)$ satisfy the cocycle condition for transition functions, the connections $d + \omega_i(c,\eta)$ on B_i glue into a flat connection on X'/G, and $g_{ij}(0,0) = g_{ij}^0, \omega_i(0,0) = 0$. Now, given a path γ starting and ending at x, it can be subdivided into finitely many consecutive segments γ_k, $k=1,...,n$, which lie inside of one ball B_{i_k} (we assume that x is the beginning of γ_1 and the end of γ_n, and use the same i_1 for all γ). Solving the differential equation $df+\omega_{i_k}(c,\eta)f=0$ in B_{i_k} (which we can do using Chen integrals since $\omega(0,0)=0$, even though $\dim F$ may be infinite), we find the monodromy operator A_k from the beginning to the end of γ_k. Clearly, $A_k\in 1+I\mathrm{End}F$, where I is the maximal ideal in $\mathbb{C}[[c,\eta]]$. The monodromy operator $A(\gamma)$ along γ is defined to be $A_\gamma=g_{i_1i_n}A_ng_{i_n,i_n-1}A_{n-1}...A_2g_{i_2i_1}A_1$. Then $\gamma\mapsto A_\gamma$ defines a representation of the braid group on $F[[c,\eta]]$. This is the desired monodromy representation $KZ(M_{1,c,\eta,0})$.

Next, we claim that $KZ(M_{1,c,\eta,0})$ is in fact a representation of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\tau(c,\eta)}(X,G)$; in other words, we can apply Proposition 3.4 even though the representation may be infinite dimensional. To see this, let us replace X with a small ball B around a generic point $y\in Y$ (so that B is invariant under the stabilizer G_y), and G with G_y. If we restrict our modules to B, we will obviously obtain $M|_B=W\otimes M^B$, where M^B is the analog of the module M for X replaced with B and G with G_y, and W is a vector space (which can be naturally identified with $\mathbb{C}[\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(X/G)/<T_Y>]$, where T_Y is a loop around Y in X/G). Now, by Lemma 3.5, the unique deformation of M^B to a module over $H_{1,c_Y,\eta_Y,0,B,G_y}$ is $M^B_{1,c_Y,\eta_Y,0}$. This implies that $M_{1,c,\eta,0}|_B$ is isomorphic to $W\otimes M^B_{1,c_Y,\eta_Y,0}$. But M^B has finite dimensional fibers (their dimension is the order n_Y of T_Y), so Proposition 3.4 can be applied to it. Thus we get that $KZ(M_{1,c,\eta,0}|_B)$ is a representation of the Hecke algebra of B. Since this is valid for all Y, we see that $KZ(M_{1,c,\eta,0})$ is a representation of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\tau(c,\eta)}(X,G)$, as desired.

Now we are ready to finish the proof of Theorem 3.3. As we have shown, the braid group representation $KZ(M_{1,c,\eta,0})$ factors through the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\tau(c,\eta)}(X,G)$. If $c,\eta=0$, this representation is the regular representation of the orbifold fundamental group. Thus, the regular representation of the orbifold fundamental group admits a flat deformation to a representation of the Hecke algebra, implying that the Hecke algebra is flat. The theorem is proved.

3.6. **Examples.** In this subsection we would like to discuss a few examples of Hecke algebras and of application of Theorem 3.3.

Example 3.6. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a finite dimensional vector space, and W be a complex reflection group in $GL(\mathfrak{h})$. Then $\mathcal{H}_\tau(\mathfrak{h},W)$ is the Hecke algebra of W studied in [BMR]. It follows from Theorem 3.3 that this Hecke algebra is flat. This proof of flatness is in fact the same as the original proof of this result given in [BMR] (based on the Dunkl-Opdam-Cherednik operators).

Example 3.7. Let T be a maximal torus of a simply connected simple Lie group G, and $W=W(T)$ be its Weyl group. Then $\mathcal{H}_\tau(T,W)$ is the affine Hecke algebra. This algebra is also flat by Theorem 3.3. In fact, its flatness is a well known result from representation theory; our proof of flatness is essentially due to Cherednik [Ch].

Example 3.8. Let W,T be as in the previous example, and $Q^\vee$ be the dual root lattice of G. Let E be an elliptic curve, and $X=E\otimes Q^\vee$ be the corresponding Looijenga variety. Then $H_\tau(X,W)$ is the double affine Hecke algebra of Cherednik ([Ch]), and it is flat by Theorem 3.3. The fact that this algebra is flat was proved by Cherednik, Sahi, Noumi, Stokman (see [Ch],[Sa],[NoSt],[St]) using a different approach (q-deformed Dunkl operators).

Example 3.9. Let H be a simply connected complex Riemann surface (i.e., Riemann sphere, Euclidean plane, or Lobachevsky plane), and Γ be a cocompact lattice in $\mathrm{Aut}(H)$. Let $\Sigma = H/\Gamma$. Then Σ is a compact complex Riemann surface. When Γ contains elliptic elements (i.e., nontrivial elements of finite order), we are going to regard Σ as an orbifold: it has special points P_i, $i = 1, ..., m$ with stabilizers $\mathbb{Z}_{n_i}$. Then Γ is the orbifold fundamental group of Σ.

Let g be the genus of Σ, and $a_i, b_i, i = 1, ..., g$, be the a-cycles and b-cycles of Σ. Let c_j be the counterclockwise loops around P_j. Then Γ is generated by a_l, b_l, c_j with relations

$$c_j^{n_j} = 1,\ c_1c_2...c_m = \prod_l a_lb_la_l^{-1}b_l^{-1}.$$

For each j, introduce formal parameters τ_{kj}, $k = 1, ..., n_j$. Define the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_\tau(\Sigma)$ of Σ to be generated over $\mathbb{C}[[\tau]]$ by the same generators a_l, b_l, c_j with defining relations

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n_j}(c_j - e^{2\pi ij/n_j}e^{\tau_{kj}}) = 0,\ c_1c_2...c_m = \prod_l a_lb_la_l^{-1}b_l^{-1}.$$

Thus $\mathcal{H}_\tau(\Sigma)$ is a deformation of $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$.

We claim that this deformation is flat if H is a Euclidean plane or a Lobachevsky plane. To show this, let Γ' be a normal subgroup of Γ of finite index acting freely on H. Let $G = \Gamma/\Gamma'$, and $X = H/\Gamma'$. Then $\mathcal{H}_\tau(\Sigma) = \mathcal{H}_\tau(X, G)$, so the result follows from Theorem 3.3 and the fact that $\pi_2(X) = \pi_2(H) = 0$.

Remark 1. We note that if H is the Riemann sphere (so that the condition $\pi_2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ is violated) and $\Gamma \neq 1$ then this deformation is not flat. Indeed, let $\tau = \tau(\hbar)$ be a 1-parameter subdeformation of $\mathcal{H}_\tau(\Sigma)$ which is flat. Let us compute the determinant of the product $c_1...c_m$ in the regular representation of this algebra (which is finite dimensional if H is the sphere). On the one hand, it is 1, as $c_1...c_m$ is a product of commutators. On the other hand, the eigenvalues of c_j in this representation are $e^{2\pi ij/n_j}e^{\tau_{kj}}$ with multiplicity $|\Gamma|/n_j$. Computing determinants as products of eigenvalues, we get a nontrivial equation on $\tau_{kj}(\hbar)$, which means that the deformation $\mathcal{H}_\tau$ is not flat.

Remark 2. In the case when H is a Euclidean plane (so $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_\ell \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2$, $\ell = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$), the algebras $\mathcal{H}_\tau(\Sigma)$ were considered in [EOR], and it was proved (by a different method) that they are flat[2]. The case $\ell = 1$ is trivial. In the special case when $\ell = 2$, this was done earlier by Sahi, Noumi, and Stokman ([Sa],[NoSt, St]); in this case the Hecke algebra is a generalized Cherednik algebra of rank 1 that controls Askey-Wilson polynomials.

Remark 3. If $g = 0$, then finite dimensioanl representations of $\mathcal{H}_\tau(\Sigma)$ are closely related to solutions of the multiplicative Deligne-Simpson problem, see [CB].

Example 3.10. This is a “multivariate” version of the previous example. Namely, letting X, G, Γ be as in the previous example, and $n \geq 1$, we consider the manifold X^n with the action of $S_n \ltimes G^n$. Then $\mathcal{H}_\tau(X^n, S_n \ltimes G^n)$ is a flat deformation of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[S_n \ltimes \Gamma^n]$. If $n > 1$, this algebra has one more essential parameter than for $n = 1$; thus we can regard it as a 1-parameter deformation of $S_n \ltimes \mathcal{H}_{\tau'}(X, G)$, where $\tau = (\tau', k)$, and k is a scalar parameter (in fact, there are two additional parameters, but one of them is redundant). These algebras are considered in the paper [EGO].

---

[2] Note that closely related flat algebras (called multiplicative preprojective algebras) were considered in [CBS].

Example 3.11. Recall that in [EG] Ginzburg and the author attach to any finite subgroup G in $Sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$ a family of algebras called symplectic reflection algebras, parametrized by a complex number t and a function c on the set of conjugacy classes of symplectic reflections in G. Here we are going to define a trigonometric analog of this construction, by assigning a family of algebras parametrized by conjugacy classes of affine reflections to any finite subgroup $G \subset Sp(2n, \mathbb{Z})$.

Let $\mathbf{L}$ be a symplectic lattice of rank $2n$, and G a finite subgroup of $Sp(\mathbf{L})$. We would like to deform the group algebra of the group $\Gamma = G \ltimes \mathbf{L}$. For this purpose we will realize Γ as the orbifold fundamental group and then pass to the corresponding Hecke algebra. To do so, let $U = \mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbf{L}$ be the corresponding symplectic vector space. Let ω be the symplectic form on this space. To make things simple, assume that ω is a unique, up to scaling, G-invariant symplectic form on U. Pick a G-invariant Kähler structure on U, such that its imaginary part is ω (this can be done by averaging any Kähler structure with imaginary part ω over G). This makes U into a complex n-dimensional vector space, on which G acts $\mathbb{C}$-linearly. Consider the analytic abelian variety $X = U/\mathbf{L}$. Clearly, G acts holomorphically on X, $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(X/G) = \Gamma$, and $\pi_2(X) = 0$, so we can define a flat deformation of $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$, the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_\tau(X, G)$.

We will now show that the essential parameters in this family of algebras are a complex number q and a function on the set of conjugacy classes of affine reflections in $G \ltimes \mathbf{L}$, i.e., elements whose fixed set in U has real codimension 2 (or complex codimension 1).

Indeed, the parameters τ correspond by an invertible transformation to (c, η). Recall that c is a function on the set S of pairs (Y, g) such that $g \in G$ and Y is a component of X^g which has codimension 1. We observe that S is in a natural bijection with the set of conjugacy classes of affine reflections in $G \ltimes \mathbf{L}$. Thus it remains to observe that η gives only one more essential parameter, since for any Y, the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(GY)$ is G-equivariant, and thus its first Chern class is a multiple of ω.

Example 3.12. (D. Kazhdan). This is a multidimensional version of Example 3.9. Let $\widetilde{X}$ be the n-dimensional complex hyperbolic space $\mathbf{H}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$, and Γ be a finitely generated discrete group of motions of $\widetilde{X}$, i.e. a discrete subgroup of $PSU(n, 1)$. (There are a number of interesting groups of this type generated by complex hypebolic reflections, such as Mostow groups $\Gamma(p, t)$, [Mo]). Let Γ' be a normal subgroup of finite index in Γ which acts freely on $\widetilde{X}$ (it exists by Selberg's lemma), and let $X = \widetilde{X}/\Gamma'$, $G = \Gamma/\Gamma'$. Then the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_\tau(X, G)$ is flat (since $\pi_2(X) = 0$).

Remark. In fact, in the theory of global Cherednik algebras for analytic varieties discussed in this section, it is not important that the group G is finite, but it only matters that it acts on X properly discontinuously. Thus, if X is a complex manifold and G a discrete group acting properly discontinuously and holomorphically on X, then one can define the Hecke alegbra $\mathcal{H}_\tau(X, G)$ and show (similarly to Theorem 3.3) that this Hecke algebra is flat if $\pi_2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$. Moreover, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{H}_\tau(X, G)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}_\tau(\widetilde{X}, \pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(X/G))$. (This shows, for instance, that the Hecke algebras of Example 3.12 are independent on the choice of the group Γ').

References

[AFLS] J.Alev, M.A.Farinati, T.Lambre, A.L.Solotar, Homologie des invariants d'une algebre de Weyl sous l'action d'un group fini, Journal of Algebra 232, 564-577 (2000).

[BB] A.Beilinson and J.Bernstein, Proof of Jantzen's conjecture, Advances in Soviet Mathematics 16 (1993), 1-50.

[Bo] A. Borel et al., Algebraic D-modules, Academic Press, Boston, 1987.

[BC] Yu. Berest and O. Chalykh, work in progress (private communication).

[BEG] Yu. Berest, P. Etingof, V. Ginzburg, Cherednik algebras and differential operators on quasi-invariants, Duke Math. J. 118, no. 2 (2003), 279-337.

[BEG1] Yu. Berest, P. Etingof, V. Ginzburg, Finite dimensional representations of rational Cherednik algebras, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2003, no. 19, 1053-1088.

[BG] J.-L. Brylinski, E. Getzler, The homology of algebras of pseudodifferential symbols and the noncommutative residue, K-theory, v.1 (1987), p.385-403.

[BMR] M. Broué, G. Malle and R. Rouquier, Complex reflection groups, braid groups, Hecke algebras, J. Reine Angew. Math. 500 (1998), 127-190.

[CB] W. Crawley-Boevey, Indecomposable parabolic bundles and the existence of matrices in prescribed conjugacy class closures with product equal to the identity, math.AG/0307246.

[CBH] W. Crawley-Boevey, M. Holland, *Noncommutative deformations of Kleinian singularities*, Duke Math. J. **92** (1998), no. 3, 605–635.

[CBS] William Crawley-Boevey, Peter Shaw, Multiplicative preprojective algebras, middle convolution and the Deligne-Simpson problem, math.RA/0404186.

[Ch] I. Cherednik: *Double affine Hecke algebras, Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations, and Macdonald operators* , IMRN (Duke math.J.) **9** (1992), p.171-180.

[DO] C. F. Dunkl, E. M. Opdam, Dunkl operators for complex reflection groups, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 86 (2003), no. 1, 70-108.

[Dr] Drinfeld, V.G., On quasitriangular Quasi-Hopf algebras and a group closely connected with $Gal(\bar{Q}/Q)$, Leningrad Math. J. 2 (1991) 829–860.

[EG] P. Etingof and V. Ginzburg, *Symplectic reflection algebras, Calogero-Moser space, and deformed Harish-Chandra homomorphism*, `math.AG/0011114`, Invent. Math., v.147(2), 2002, p.243-348.

[EG1] P. Etingof and V. Ginzburg, *On m-quasi-invariants of Coxeter groups*, math.QA/0106175.

[EOR] P. Etingof, A. Oblomkov, E. Rains, Generalized double affine Hecke algebras of rank 1 and quantized Del Pezzo surfaces, math.QA/0406480.

[EGO] P. Etingof, W. L. Gan, A. Oblomkov, Generalized double affine Hecke algebras of higher rank, to appear.

[FV] M. Feigin and A. Veselov, Quasi-invariants of Coxeter groups and m-harmonic polynomails, IMRN 2002:10 (2002), 521-545.

[GGOR] V. Ginzburg, N. Guay, E. Opdam, R. Rouquier, On the category O for rational Cherednik algebras, math.RT/0212036.

[Mo] G. D. Mostow, On a remarkable class of polyhedra in complex hyperbolic space, Pacific J. Math. 86 (1980), no. 1, 171-276.

[NoSt] M.Noumi, J.V.Stokman, Askey-Wilson polynomials: an affine Hecke algebraic approach, math.QA/0001033 (2000)

[Sa] S. Sahi, Nonsymmetric Koornwinder polynomials and duality, Ann. of Math. (2) 150 (1999), no. 1, 267–282.

[St] Stokman, J. V. Koornwinder polynomials and affine Hecke algebras. Internat. Math. Res. Notices 2000, no. 19, 1005–1042.

Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

E-mail address: `etingof@math.mit.edu`